

# ACTION INTEGRALS ALONG CLOSED ISOTOPIES IN COADJOINT ORBITS

ANDRÉS VIÑA

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the orbit of  $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  under the coadjoint action of the compact Lie group  $G$ . We give two formulae for calculating the action integral along a closed Hamiltonian isotopy on  $\mathcal{O}$ . The first one expresses this action in terms of a particular character of the isotropy subgroup of  $\eta$ . In the second one is involved the character of an irreducible representation of  $G$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a quantizable [13] symplectic manifold. We will denote by  $\text{Ham}(M)$  the group of Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms [8] of  $(M, \omega)$ . In this note we will consider loops  $\{\psi_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$  in  $\text{Ham}(M)$  at  $\text{id}$ . Given  $q \in M$ , the loop  $\psi$  generates the closed curve  $\{\psi_t(q) \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$  in  $M$  which is homologous to zero [8, page 334]. As  $(M, \omega)$  is quantizable, it makes sense to define the action integral  $\mathfrak{A}_\psi(q)$  along such a curve as the element of  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  given by the formula [11] [8]

$$\mathfrak{A}_\psi(q) = \int_S \omega - \int_0^1 f_t(\psi_t(q)) dt + \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $S$  is any 2-surface whose boundary is the curve  $\{\psi_t(q)\}$ , and where  $f_t$  a fixed time dependent Hamiltonian associated to  $\{\psi_t\}$ .

Since  $(M, \omega)$  is quantizable, one can choose a prequantum bundle  $L$  on  $M$ , endowed with a connection  $D$  [13]. On the other hand, let  $X_t$  be the corresponding Hamiltonian vector fields determined by  $f_t$ , then one can construct the operator  $\mathcal{P}_t := -D_{X_t} - 2\pi i f_t$ , which acts on the sections of  $L$ . The equation  $\dot{\tau}_t = \mathcal{P}_t(\tau_t)$  defines a “transport” of the section  $\tau_0 \in C^\infty(L)$  along  $\psi_t$ . This transport enjoys the following nice property: If  $D_Y \tau_0 = 0$ , with  $Y$  a vector field on  $M$ , then  $D_{Y_t} \tau_t = 0$ , for

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 53C15; Secondary: 81S10, 58F06.

*Key words and phrases*. Group of symplectomorphisms, Geometric quantization, Coadjoint orbits.

The author was partially supported by DGES, grant DGES-96PB0538.

$Y_t = \psi_t(Y)$  (see [10]). From this fact one can prove that  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_0$  differ in a constant factor  $\kappa(\psi)$ ; that is,  $\tau_1 = \kappa(\psi)\tau_0$ . A direct calculation shows that  $\kappa(\psi) = \exp(2\pi i \mathfrak{A}_\psi(q))$ , where  $q$  is an arbitrary point of  $M$  [10]. Consequently the expression (1.1) is independent of  $q$ , and it makes sense to define the action integral along  $\psi$  by (1.1).

The purpose of this note is to calculate the value of the invariant  $\kappa(\psi)$  when the manifold  $M$  is a coadjoint orbit [5] of a compact Lie group. However in Section 2 we study a more general situation. If a Lie group  $G$  acts on the manifold  $M$  by symplectomorphisms and there is a moment map for this action, then each  $A \in \mathfrak{g}$  determines a vector field  $X_A$  on  $M$  and the corresponding Hamiltonian  $f_A$ . We can construct the respective operator  $\mathcal{P}_A$  on  $C^\infty(L)$ , so one has a representation  $\mathcal{P}$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $C^\infty(L)$ . When this representation extends to an action  $\rho$  of the group  $G$ , the prequantization is said to be  $G$ -invariant. In this case we will prove that the value of  $\kappa(\psi)$  can be expressed in terms of  $\rho$ . More precisely, if the isotopy  $\psi_t$  is determined by vector fields of type  $X_{A_t}$  we show that  $\tau_t = \rho(h_t)\tau_0$ , where  $h_t$  is the solution to Lax equation  $h_t h_t^{-1} = A_t$ .

Section 3 is concerned with the invariant  $\kappa(\psi)$  for closed isotopies  $\psi$  in a coadjoint orbit of a compact Lie group  $G$ . We study the value of  $\kappa(\psi)$ , when the isotopy is defined by vector fields of type  $X_{A_t}$ . Given  $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_\eta$  of  $\eta$  admits a  $G$ -invariant prequantization if the prequantum bundle is defined by a character  $\Lambda$  of  $G_\eta$ , the subgroup of isotropy of  $\eta$ . In this case we prove that  $\kappa(\psi) = \Lambda(h_1)$ , with  $h_t$  the solution to the corresponding Lax equation (Theorem 6).

If  $G$  is a semisimple group, the choice of a maximal torus  $T$  contained in  $G_\eta$  permits us to define a  $G$ -invariant complex structure on  $G/G_\eta = \mathcal{O}_\eta$ . This complex structure, in turn, determines a holomorphic structure on  $L$ . When the prequantization is  $G$ -invariant,  $\mathcal{P}$  defines also a representation  $\rho$  of  $G$  on the space  $H^0(L)$  of holomorphic sections of  $L$ . When  $G_\eta$  itself is a maximal torus, the Borel-Weil theorem allows us to characterize  $\rho$  in terms of its highest weight. We prove that the invariant  $\kappa(\psi)$  for the closed isotopy considered above is equal to  $\chi(\rho)(h_1)/\dim \rho$ . This fact permits us to calculate  $\kappa(\psi)$  using the Weyl's character formula. This stuff is considered in Section 4.

In Section 5 we check the results of Sections 3 and 4 in two particular cases. In the first one we calculate directly the value of  $\kappa(\psi)$  for a closed isotopy  $\psi$  in  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ ; Theorem 6 and Weyl's character formula applied to this example give the same result as the direct calculation. In [10] we determined the value  $\kappa(\psi)$  for a closed Hamiltonian flow  $\psi$  in  $S^2$ ; here we recover this number by applying Theorem 6 to this isotopy.

2.  $G$ -INVARIANT PREQUANTUM DATA.

Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group which acts on the left on the symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$  by symplectomorphisms. We assume that this action is Hamiltonian, and that  $\Phi : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  is a map moment for this action.

Given  $A \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , we denote by  $X_A$ , the vector field on  $M$  generated by  $A$ . Then  $(d\Phi(Y)) \cdot A = \omega(Y, X_A)$ , for any vector field  $Y$  on  $M$ . The  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued map  $\Phi \cdot A$  will be denoted by  $f_A$ ; so

$$\iota_{X_A} \omega = -df_A \quad \text{and} \quad \{f_A, f_B\} = \omega(X_B, X_A) = f_{[A, B]}. \quad (2.1)$$

As we said one assumes that  $(M, \omega)$  is quantizable. Let  $L$  be a prequantum bundle, i.e.  $L$  is a Hermitian line bundle over  $M$  with a connection  $D$ , whose curvature is  $-2\pi i \omega$ , then one can define the prequantization map [9]

$$A \in \mathfrak{g} \mapsto \mathcal{P}_A = -D_{X_A} - 2\pi i f_A \in \text{End}(C^\infty(L)). \quad (2.2)$$

**Proposition 1.** *The map  $\mathcal{P}$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism.*

*Proof.* Since the action of  $G$  is on the left, the map  $A \in \mathfrak{g} \mapsto X_A \in \Xi(M)$ , where  $\Xi(M)$  denotes the set of vector fields on  $M$ , is a Lie Algebra antihomomorphism (see [6] p.42); that is,

$$X_{[A, B]} = -[X_A, X_B] \quad (2.3)$$

On the other hand, if  $\tau$  is a section of  $L$

$$[\mathcal{P}_A, \mathcal{P}_B]\tau = [D_{X_A}, D_{X_B}]\tau + 4\pi i \omega(X_A, X_B)\tau. \quad (2.4)$$

Since the curvature of  $D$  is  $-2\pi i \omega$

$$-2\pi i \omega(X_A, X_B)\tau = [D_{X_A}, D_{X_B}]\tau + D_{[X_A, X_B]}\tau.$$

Using (2.3), (2.1) and (2.4) one obtains

$$[\mathcal{P}_A, \mathcal{P}_B]\tau = \mathcal{P}_{[A, B]}\tau.$$

□

The prequantum data  $(L, D)$  are said to be  $G$ -invariant, if there is a action  $\rho$  of  $G$  on  $C^\infty(L)$  which generates  $\mathcal{P}$  [4]. Henceforth in this Section we assume that the prequantum data are  $G$ -invariant.

Let  $\{A_t\}_t$  be a curve in  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $A_0 = 0$ . Given  $\tau \in C^\infty(L)$  we consider the equation for the section  $\tau_t$  of  $L$

$$\frac{d\tau_t}{dt} = \mathcal{P}_{A_t}(\tau_t), \quad \tau_0 = \tau \quad (2.5)$$

This is the equation of the “transport” of the section  $\tau$  along the isotopy determined by the vector fields  $X_{A_t}$  (see [10]). We will try to find a curve  $h_t$  in  $G$ , such that  $h_0 = e$  and  $\rho(h_t)(\tau) = \tau_t$ , where  $\tau_t$  is

solution to (2.5). As  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Diff}(C^\infty(L))$  is a group homomorphism,  $\rho \circ \mathcal{L}_g = \mathcal{L}_{\rho(g)} \circ \rho$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_a$  is the left multiplication by  $a$  in the respective group. The corresponding tangent maps satisfy

$$\rho_* \circ \mathcal{L}_{g*} = \mathcal{L}_{\rho(g)*} \circ \rho_* \quad (2.6)$$

If we put  $F_t$  for diffeomorphism  $\rho(h_t) =: F_t$ , and we define  $Y_t \in \mathfrak{g}$  by

$$\dot{h}(t) = \mathcal{L}_{h(t)*}(Y_t),$$

then by (2.6)

$$\frac{dF_t}{dt} = \rho_*(\dot{h}_t) = \mathcal{L}_{F_t*}(\mathcal{P}(Y_t)). \quad (2.7)$$

As  $\mathcal{L}_{F_t}(C) = F_t \circ C$ , if  $C \in \text{End}(C^\infty(L)) \subset \Xi(C^\infty(L))$ , then (2.7) can be written

$$\frac{dF_t}{dt} = F_t \circ \mathcal{P}(Y_t).$$

If we introduce this formula in (2.5), we obtain

$$\frac{d\tau_t}{dt} = (F_t \circ \mathcal{P}(Y_t))\tau = (\mathcal{P}_{A_t} \circ F_t)\tau.$$

Hence

$$F_t \circ \mathcal{P}_{Y_t} \circ F_t^{-1} = \mathcal{P}_{A_t} \quad (2.8)$$

Let  $\{m(u)\}_u$  a curve in  $G$  which defines  $Y_t \in \mathfrak{g}$ , then

$$F_t \circ \mathcal{P}_{Y_t} \circ F_t^{-1} = \frac{d}{du} \bigg|_{u=0} \rho(h_t m(u) h_t^{-1}).$$

By (2.8) one can take  $Y_t = \text{Ad}_{h_t^{-1}} A_t$ ; so  $h_t$  is the solution to the Lax equation

$$\dot{h}_t h_t^{-1} = A_t \quad h_0 = e. \quad (2.9)$$

We have proved

**Theorem 2.** *The solution  $\tau_t$  to (2.5) is given by  $\rho(h_t)\tau$ , where  $h_t$  satisfies equation (2.9).*

Let  $\{A_t \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$  be a curve in  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that the Hamiltonian isotopy  $\{\psi_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$  generated by the vector fields  $X_{A_t}$  is closed; i.e.  $\psi_0 = \psi_1 = \text{id}$ . We have proved in [10] that if  $\tau_t$  is the solution of (2.5), then

$$\tau_1 = \kappa(\psi)\tau, \quad (2.10)$$

for every  $\tau \in C^\infty(L)$ , where  $\kappa(\psi) = \exp(2\pi i \mathfrak{A}_\psi(q))$ , and  $\mathfrak{A}_\psi(q)$  is the action integral along the curve  $\{\psi_t(q)\}_t$ , for  $q$  arbitrary in  $M$ . On the other hand, if  $h_t$  is a curve in  $G$  solution to (2.9), by Theorem 2  $\tau_1 = \rho(h_1)(\tau)$ . It follows from (2.10) that  $\rho(h_1) = \kappa(\psi) \text{Id}$ . Thus we have

**Corollary 3.** *If  $W$  is a finite dimensional  $\rho$ -invariant subspace of  $C^\infty(L)$ , and  $\rho_W$  is the restriction of  $\rho$  to this subspace, then for the character of  $\rho_W$  holds the following formula*

$$\chi(\rho_W)(h_1) = \kappa(\psi) \dim(W).$$

### 3. THE INVARIANT $\kappa(\psi)$ IN A COADJOINT ORBIT

Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group, and we consider the coadjoint action of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  defined by

$$(g \cdot \eta)(A) = \eta(g^{-1} \cdot A),$$

for  $g \in G$ ,  $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ ,  $A \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $g \cdot A = \text{Ad}_g A$  (see [5] [13]).

If  $X_A$  is the vector field on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  determined by  $A$ , the map  $l_g : \mu \in \mathfrak{g}^* \mapsto g \cdot \mu \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  satisfies

$$(l_g)_*(X_A(\mu)) = X_{g \cdot A}(g \cdot \mu). \quad (3.1)$$

Given  $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , by  $\mathcal{O}_\eta =: \mathcal{O}$  will be denoted the orbit of  $\eta$  under the coadjoint action of  $G$ . On  $\mathcal{O}$  one can consider the 2-form  $\omega$  determined by

$$\omega_\nu(X_A(\nu), X_B(\nu)) = \nu([A, B]). \quad (3.2)$$

This 2-form defines a symplectic structure on  $\mathcal{O}$ , and the action of  $G$  preserves  $\omega$ . For each  $A \in \mathfrak{g}$  one defines the function  $h_A \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O})$  by  $h_A(\nu) = \nu(A)$ , and for this function holds the formula

$$\iota_{X_A} \omega = dh_A. \quad (3.3)$$

The orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  can be identified with  $G/G_\eta$ , where  $G_\eta$  is the subgroup of isotropy of  $\eta$ . The Lie algebra of this subgroup is

$$\mathfrak{g}_\eta = \{A \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \eta([A, B]) = 0, \text{ for every } B \in \mathfrak{g}\}$$

The orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  possesses a  $G$ -invariant prequantization iff the linear functional

$$\lambda : C \in \mathfrak{g}_\eta \mapsto 2\pi i \eta(C) \in i\mathbb{R} \quad (3.4)$$

is integral; i. e., iff there is a character  $\Lambda : G_\eta \rightarrow U(1)$  whose derivative is the functional (3.4) (see [7]). Henceforth we assume the existence of such a character  $\Lambda$ . The corresponding prequantum bundle  $L$  over  $\mathcal{O} = G/G_\eta$  is defined by  $L = G \times_\Lambda \mathbb{C} = (G \times \mathbb{C}) / \simeq$ , with  $(g, z) \simeq (gb^{-1}, \Lambda(b)z)$ , for  $b \in G_\eta$ .

Each section  $\sigma$  of  $L$  determines a  $\Lambda$ -equivariant function  $s : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by the relation

$$\sigma(gG_\eta) = [g, s(g)]. \quad (3.5)$$

The  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -principal bundle associated to  $L$  is  $L^\times = L - \{\text{zero section}\}$ . If  $v$  denotes the element  $[e, 1] \in L^\times$ , then  $T_v(L^\times) \simeq (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C})/\mathfrak{f}_v$ , with

$$\mathfrak{f}_v = \{(B, -2\pi i\eta(B)) \mid B \in \mathfrak{g}_\eta\}.$$

The connection form  $\Omega$  on  $L^\times$  is constructed in [7] p.198. The form  $\Omega$  can be written  $\Omega = (\theta, d)$ , where  $\theta$  is the left invariant form on  $G$  whose value at  $e$  is  $\eta$ , and  $d \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$  is defined by  $d(z) = (2\pi i)^{-1}z$ . It is clear that  $\Omega_v$  vanishes on  $\mathfrak{f}_v$  and that it defines an element of  $T_v^*(L^\times)$ .

On the other hand the section  $\sigma$  determines a lift  $\sigma^\sharp : L^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by the formula

$$\sigma(\pi(y)) = \sigma^\sharp(y)y, \quad (3.6)$$

here  $\pi : L \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$  is the projection map. It follows from (3.5)

$$s(g) = \sigma^\sharp([g, z])z. \quad (3.7)$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{E}_\Lambda$  the space of  $\Lambda$ -equivariant functions on  $G$ . The identification  $C^\infty(L) \sim \mathcal{E}_\Lambda$  allows us to translate the action  $\mathcal{P}$  defined in (2.2) to a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\mathcal{E}_\Lambda$ .

**Theorem 4.** *The action  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{E}_\Lambda$  is given by  $\mathcal{P}_A(s) = -R_A(s)$ , where  $R_A$  is the right invariant vector field on  $G$  determined by  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma$  be a section of  $L$ , by (3.3)  $\mathcal{P}_A(\sigma) = -D_{X_A}\sigma + 2\pi i h_A \sigma$ . We will determine the lift  $(\mathcal{P}_A(\sigma))^\sharp$ .

The vector  $X_A(g \cdot \eta) \in T_{g \cdot \eta}(\mathcal{O})$  is defined by the curve  $u \mapsto e^{uA}g \cdot \eta$  in  $\mathcal{O}$ . A lift of this curve at the point  $[g, z] \in L^\times$  will be a curve of the form  $\gamma(u) = [e^{uA}g, z_u]$ , with  $z_u = ze^{ux}$ . The vector tangent to  $\gamma$  at  $[g, z]$  is  $\dot{\gamma}(0) = [R_A(g), x]$ , where  $R_A(g)$  is the value at  $g$  of the right invariant vector field in  $G$  defined by  $A$ .

The condition  $\Omega(\dot{\gamma}(0)) = 0$  implies

$$x = -2\pi i\eta(g^{-1} \cdot A). \quad (3.8)$$

Therefore the horizontal lift of  $X_A(g \cdot \eta)$  is

$$X_A^\sharp([g, z]) = [R_A(g), -2\pi i\eta(g^{-1} \cdot A)],$$

and by (3.7) the action of  $X_A^\sharp([g, z])$  on the function  $\sigma^\sharp$  can be expressed in terms of  $s$

$$X_A^\sharp([g, z])(\sigma^\sharp) = \frac{d}{du} \Big|_{u=0} \left( \frac{s(e^{uA}g)}{ze^{ux}} \right) = \frac{R_A(g)(s)}{z} - \frac{xs(g)}{z}.$$

Since  $X_A^\sharp(\sigma^\sharp) = (D_{X_A}\sigma)^\sharp$  [6, page 115], from (3.8) and (3.7) it turns out that the equivariant function associated to  $D_{X_A}\sigma$  is

$$g \in G \mapsto R_A(g)(s) + 2\pi i\eta(g^{-1} \cdot A)s(g) \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (3.9)$$

Obviously the equivariant function defined by the section  $h_A\sigma$  is the function  $\lambda_A s$ , where  $\lambda_A(g) = h_A(gG_\eta) = (g \cdot \eta)(A) = \eta(g^{-1} \cdot A)$ . It follows from (3.9) that the equivariant function which corresponds to  $-D_{X_A}\sigma + 2\pi i h_A\sigma$  is  $-R_A(s)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.** *The action  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathcal{E}_\Lambda$  is induced by the action*

$$\rho : (b, s) \in G \times \mathcal{E}_\Lambda \mapsto s \circ \mathcal{L}_{b^{-1}} \in \mathcal{E}_\Lambda,$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_c$  is left multiplication by  $c$  in the group  $G$ .

*Proof.* If  $g_t = e^{tA} \in G$ , then

$$\left. \frac{d\rho_{g_t}(s)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (g) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} s(e^{-tA}g) = -R_A(g)(s) = \mathcal{P}_A(s)(g).$$

$\square$

From Corollary 5 it follows that the prequantum data  $(L, D)$  are  $G$ -invariant.

Let  $\{\psi_t \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$  be a closed Hamiltonian isotopy on  $\mathcal{O}$ ; that is, a Hamiltonian isotopy such that  $\psi_1 = \text{id}$ . We also assume that the corresponding Hamiltonian vector fields are invariant; that is,

$$\frac{d\psi_t(q)}{dt} = X_{A_t}(\psi_t(q)), \quad \text{with } A_t \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

If  $\sigma$  is a section of  $L$ ,  $\sigma_t$  will denote the solution to the equation

$$\frac{d\sigma_t}{dt} = \mathcal{P}_{A_t}(\sigma_t), \quad \sigma_0 = \sigma. \quad (3.10)$$

By Theorem 4, equation (3.10) on the points  $\{g_t\}_{t \in [0, 1]}$  of a curve in  $G$  gives rise to

$$\dot{s}_t(g_t) = -R_{A_t}(g_t)(s_t), \quad (3.11)$$

for the corresponding equivariant functions. In particular, if  $g_t$  is the curve such that  $g_0 = e$  and  $\dot{g}_t = R_{A_t}(g_t) \in T_{g_t}(G)$ ; in other words,  $g_t$  satisfies the Lax equation  $\dot{g}_t g_t^{-1} = A_t$ , then

$$R_{A_t}(g_t)(s_t) = \left. \frac{d}{du} \right|_{u=t} s_t(g_u).$$

Using (3.11) one deduces

$$\dot{s}_t(g_t) + \dot{g}_t(s_t) = 0 \quad (3.12)$$

If we consider the function  $w : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $w_t = s_t(g_t)$ ; by (3.12)  $w$  is constant. So  $s_1(g_1) = s_0(e)$ . If  $g_1 \in G_\eta$ , as  $s_1$  is  $\Lambda$ -equivariant  $s_1(g_1) = \Lambda(g_1^{-1})s_1(e)$ ; so

$$\sigma_1(eG_\eta) = \Lambda(g_1)\sigma_0(eG_\eta). \quad (3.13)$$

The following Theorem is consequence of (2.10) and (3.13)

**Theorem 6.** *If  $\{\psi_t\}$  is the closed Hamiltonian isotopy in  $\mathcal{O}$  generated by the vector fields  $\{X_{A_t}\}$ , then  $\kappa(\psi) = \Lambda(g_1)$ , where  $g_t \in G$  is the solution to  $\dot{g}_t g_t^{-1} = A_t$ , with  $g_0 = e$  and  $g_1 \in G_\eta$ .*

REMARK. Theorem 6 can also be deduced as a consequence of Theorem 2 and Corollary 5. In fact, if  $h_t$  is the solution to  $\dot{h}_t h_t^{-1} = A_t$ , with the introduced notations

$$\sigma_1(a) = (\rho(h_1)\sigma)(a) = [a, s(h_1^{-1}a)] = \Lambda(h_1)[a, s(a)] = \Lambda(h_1)\sigma(a).$$

#### 4. RELATION WITH WEYL'S CHARACTER FORMULA.

Let us assume that  $G$  is semisimple Lie group [2], and let  $T$  a maximal torus with  $T \subset G_\eta$  (see [4] p.166). One has the corresponding decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_\mathbb{R} \mathbb{C}$  in direct sum of root spaces

$$\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum \mathfrak{g}_\alpha,$$

where  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}$ , and  $\alpha$  ranges over the set of roots. This decomposition gives the real counterpart

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \sum_{\alpha \in P} (\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}) \cap \mathfrak{g},$$

where  $P$  is a set of positive roots. We denote by  $\alpha^\vee$  the element of  $[\mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}]$  such that  $\alpha(\alpha^\vee) = 2$ , while  $\beta(\alpha^\vee) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for every root  $\beta$ .

$\eta$  extends in a natural way to  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ . If  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ , then as  $\alpha^\vee \in \mathfrak{g}_\eta$

$$0 = \eta([\alpha^\vee, Y]) = 2\eta(Y).$$

Hence  $\eta$  vanishes on  $\sum \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ . If  $\eta(\alpha^\vee) \neq 0$ , for all root  $\alpha$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}_\eta = \mathfrak{t}$ ; in this case  $\eta$  is said to be regular. Henceforth we assume that  $\eta$  is regular.

We define  $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ , where

$$\mathfrak{n} = \sum_{\alpha \in P} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{b}$  is a Borel subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ , which corresponds to a Borel subgroup  $B$  of  $G$ .

We have

$$T_\eta(\mathcal{O}) = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_\eta = \sum_{\alpha \in P} (\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}) \cap \mathfrak{g}.$$

Hence

$$T_\eta^\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{O}) = \sum_{\alpha \in P} (\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}).$$



One defines

$$T_\eta^{0,1}\mathcal{O} := \mathfrak{n},$$

and

$$T_{g\cdot\eta}^{0,1}\mathcal{O} := \{X_{g\cdot A}(g\cdot\eta) \mid A \in \mathfrak{n}\}.$$

If  $g_1 \cdot \eta = g_2 \cdot \eta$ , then  $g_1^{-1}g_2 \in T$ . As  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  is an eigenspace for the action of  $T$ , then  $g_1^{-1}g_2 \cdot A \in \mathfrak{n}$ , if  $A \in \mathfrak{n}$ . Therefore the spaces  $T_{g\cdot\eta}^{0,1}$  are well-defined.

For  $A \in \mathfrak{n}$ , one can define the vector field  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{O}$  by  $\mathcal{A}(g\cdot\eta) = X_{g\cdot A}(g\cdot\eta)$ . By (3.1)  $(l_g)_*\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}$ , hence the above complex foliation defined on  $\mathcal{O}$  is  $G$ -invariant. Since the vector  $X_{g\cdot A}(g\cdot\eta)$  is defined by the curve  $e^{tg\cdot A}g\cdot\eta = ge^{tA}\cdot\eta$ , then the left invariant vector field  $L_A$  on  $G/T$  is the field which corresponds to  $\mathcal{A}$ , in the identification of  $G/T$  with  $\mathcal{O}$ .

The vector spaces  $T^{1,0}$  are defined in the obvious way. As  $\mathfrak{n}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ , the decomposition  $T^\mathbb{C}(\mathcal{O}) = T^{1,0} \oplus T^{0,1}$  define a complex structure on  $\mathcal{O}$ . This complex manifold can be identified with  $G_\mathbb{C}/B$ .

We assume that the integral functional  $\lambda$  in (3.4) satisfies  $\lambda(\alpha^\vee) \leq 0$  for every  $\alpha \in P$ ; this means that  $-\lambda$  is a dominant weight for  $T$  [1]. Using the complex structure on  $\mathcal{O} = G/T$  and the covariant derivative  $D$  on the prequantum bundle  $L = G \times_\Lambda \mathbb{C}$ , it is possible to define a holomorphic structure in  $L$ . The section  $\tau$  of  $L$  is said to be holomorphic iff  $D_Z\tau = 0$  for any vector field  $Z$  of type  $(0,1)$ . In this way  $L$  can be regarded as a holomorphic line bundle over  $G_\mathbb{C}/B$ . The homomorphism  $\Lambda : T \rightarrow U(1)$  extends trivially to  $B$ , since  $B$  is a semidirect product of  $H = T_\mathbb{C}$  and the nilpotent subgroup whose Lie algebra is  $\mathfrak{n}$ ; and each section  $\sigma$  of  $L$  determines a function  $s : G_\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which is  $\Lambda$ -equivariant.

Given  $A \in \mathfrak{n}$ , the Proof of Theorem 4 shows that the equivariant function associated to  $D_A\sigma$  is the map

$$g \in G_\mathbb{C} \mapsto R_{g\cdot A}(g)(s) + 2\pi i\eta(g^{-1}g \cdot A)s(g) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

As  $\eta$  vanishes on  $\mathfrak{n}$  and  $R_{g\cdot A}(g) = L_A(g)$ , the function associated to  $D_A\sigma$  is  $L_A(s)$ . The section  $\sigma$  is holomorphic if  $D_A\sigma = 0$ , for every  $A \in \mathfrak{n}$ ; in this case  $L_A(s) = 0$  for  $A \in \mathfrak{n}$ , that is,  $s$  is a holomorphic function on  $G_\mathbb{C}$ . So the space  $H^0(G_\mathbb{C}/B, L)$  is isomorphic to the space

$$\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda,P} := \{s : G_\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid s \text{ is holomorphic and } \Lambda\text{-equivariant}\}.$$

The Borel-Weil Theorem asserts that the action of  $G$  on the space  $\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda,P}$  given by  $g \star s = s \circ \mathcal{L}_{g^{-1}}$  is an irreducible representation of  $G$ ; more precisely the contragredient representation of that one whose highest weight is  $-\lambda$  (see [1] pages 290, 300).

**Lemma 7.** *If  $A \in \mathfrak{n}$ , then  $[\mathcal{A}, X_B] = 0$  for any  $B \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ .*

*Proof.* The flow  $\varphi_t$  determined by  $X_B$  is given  $\varphi_t(g \cdot \eta) = e^{tB}g \cdot \eta$ . And the flow  $\phi_t$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\phi_t(g \cdot \eta) = e^{tg \cdot A}g \cdot \eta = ge^{tA} \cdot \eta$ . Hence

$$(\varphi_t \circ \phi_t)(g \cdot \eta) = e^{tB}ge^{tA} \cdot \eta = (\phi_t \circ \varphi_t)(g \cdot \eta).$$

□

**Proposition 8.** *If  $D_{\mathcal{A}}\sigma = 0$  for any  $A \in \mathfrak{n}$ , then  $D_{\mathcal{A}}\mathcal{P}_B\sigma = 0$  for any  $B \in \mathfrak{g}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $D_{\mathcal{A}}\sigma = 0$ , it follows from (2.2)

$$D_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{P}_B\sigma) = -D_{\mathcal{A}}D_{X_B}\sigma + 2\pi i\omega(\mathcal{A}, X_B)\sigma. \quad (4.1)$$

As

$$[D_{\mathcal{A}}, D_{X_B}]\sigma = D_{[\mathcal{A}, X_B]}\sigma - 2\pi i\omega(\mathcal{A}, X_B)\sigma,$$

from (4.1) and (3.3) we deduce

$$D_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{P}_B\sigma) = -D_{[\mathcal{A}, X_B]}\sigma.$$

Now the proposition is consequence of Lemma 7. □

A direct consequence of Proposition 8 is

**Corollary 9.**  *$\mathcal{P}$  defines a representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $H^0(G_{\mathbb{C}}/B, L)$ .*

Denoting by  $\pi$  the irreducible representation of  $G$  whose highest weight is  $-2\pi i\eta$ , and by  $\pi^*$  its dual, we have

**Corollary 10.** *The representation  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $H^0(G_{\mathbb{C}}/B, L)$  is the derivative of  $\pi^*$ .*

*Proof.* It is a consequence of Corollary 5 and Borel-Weil theorem □

The subspace  $\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda, P} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\Lambda}$  is invariant under the representation  $\rho$  defined in Corollary 5, and the restriction of  $\rho$  to  $\mathcal{E}_{\Lambda, P}$  is precisely the representation  $\pi^*$ . From by Corollary 3 it follows

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $\eta$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ , such that the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\eta}$  is quantizable and  $-2\pi i\eta$  is a dominant weight for the maximal torus  $G_{\eta}$ . If  $\{\psi_t\}$  is the closed Hamiltonian isotopy in  $\mathcal{O}_{\eta}$  generated by the vector fields  $\{X_{A_t}\}$ , then*

$$\kappa(\psi) = \frac{\chi(\pi^*)(h_1)}{\dim \pi}, \quad (4.2)$$

where  $h_t \in G$  is the solution to  $\dot{h}_t h_t^{-1} = A_t$ ,  $h_0 = e$ , and  $\pi$  is the representation of  $G$  whose highest weight is  $-2\pi i\eta$ .

Now the character  $\chi(\pi^*)$  and the dimension  $\dim \pi$  can be determined by Weyl's character formula [1], and so  $\kappa(\psi)$ .

## 5. EXAMPLES

THE INVARIANT  $\kappa(\psi)$  IN  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ . Let  $G$  be the group  $SU(2)$  and  $\eta$  the element of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)^*$  defined by

$$\eta \begin{pmatrix} ci & w \\ -\bar{w} & -ci \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{c}{2\pi}. \quad (5.1)$$

The subgroup of isotropy  $G_\eta$  is  $U(1) \subset SU(2)$ , so the coadjoint orbit  $\mathcal{O}_\eta$  can be identified with  $SU(2)/U(1) = \mathbb{CP}^1$ . The element

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} z_0 & -\bar{z}_1 \\ z_1 & \bar{z}_0 \end{pmatrix} \in SU(2)$$

determines the point  $(z_0 : z_1) \in \mathbb{CP}^1$ . Hence to  $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_\eta$  corresponds  $p = (1 : 0) \in \mathbb{CP}^1$ . For  $z_0 \neq 0$  we put  $(z_0 : z_1) = (1 : x + iy)$ .

Denoting by  $A$  and  $B$  the following matrices of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.2)$$

by (3.2)

$$\omega_\eta(X_A, X_B) = \eta([A, B]) = \frac{1}{\pi}. \quad (5.3)$$

As

$$e^{tA} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & i \sin t \\ i \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix},$$

the curve  $\{e^{tA}\eta\}$ , which defines  $X_A(p)$ , is  $(\cos t : i \sin t)$ . Hence  $X_A(p)$ , expressed in the real coordinates  $(x, y)$ , is equal to  $(\frac{\partial}{\partial y})_p$ . Similarly  $X_B(p) = -(\frac{\partial}{\partial x})_p$ . Hence it follows from (5.3)

$$\omega_p = \frac{1}{\pi} dx \wedge dy = \frac{i}{2\pi} dz \wedge d\bar{z},$$

where  $z = x + iy$ . Therefore  $(\mathcal{O}_\eta, \omega)$  can be identified with  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  endowed with the Fubini-Study form

$$\omega = \frac{i}{2\pi} \frac{dz \wedge d\bar{z}}{(1 + z\bar{z})^2} = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{(x^2 + y^2 + 1)^2} dx \wedge dy. \quad (5.4)$$

Let us consider the symplectomorphism  $\psi_t$  on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  defined by

$$(z_0 : z_1) \in \mathbb{CP}^1 \mapsto (e^{-iat} z_0 : e^{iat} z_1) \in \mathbb{CP}^1,$$

where  $a_t \in \mathbb{R}$ . If we assume that  $a_0 = 0$  and  $a_1 = k\pi$ , with  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $\{\psi_t \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$  is a closed Hamiltonian isotopy on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ . We will

determine  $\kappa(\psi)$  by direct calculation. In real coordinates

$$\psi_t(x, y) = (x \cos 2a_t - y \sin 2a_t, x \sin 2a_t + y \cos 2a_t). \quad (5.5)$$

A straightforward calculation shows that the Hamiltonian vector field  $X_t$  defined by

$$\frac{d\psi_t(q)}{dt} = X_t(\psi_t(q))$$

is  $X_t(x, y) = 2\dot{a}_t(-y\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x\frac{\partial}{\partial y})$ . It follows from (5.4)

$$\iota_{X_t}\omega = \frac{-2\dot{a}_t}{\pi(x^2 + y^2 + 1)^2}(x dx + y dy).$$

A Hamiltonian function  $f_t$  associated to  $X_t$  is

$$f_t(x, y) = -\frac{\dot{a}_t}{\pi(x^2 + y^2 + 1)} + c_t,$$

$c_t$  being a constant. If we impose  $\int f_t\omega = 0$ , then

$$c_t = c_t \int_{\mathbb{CP}^1} \omega = \frac{\dot{a}_t}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{CP}^1} \frac{1}{(x^2 + y^2 + 1)^3} dx \wedge dy = \frac{\dot{a}_t}{2\pi}.$$

Thus the normalized Hamiltonian function is

$$f_t(x, y) = \frac{\dot{a}_t}{2\pi} \left( \frac{x^2 + y^2 - 1}{x^2 + y^2 + 1} \right).$$

Given  $q = (x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{CP}^1$ , from (5.5) it follows that the set

$$\{\psi_t(x_0, y_0) \mid t \in [0, 1]\}$$

is a circle in the plane  $(x, y)$  with centre at  $(0, 0)$ ; therefore

$$\int_0^1 f_t(\psi_t(q)) dt = \frac{k}{2} \left( \frac{x_0^2 + y_0^2 - 1}{x_0^2 + y_0^2 + 1} \right). \quad (5.6)$$

On the other hand the 1-form  $\theta = (-x + iy)(x^2 + y^2 + 1)^{-1}(dx + idy)$  satisfies  $d\theta = -2\pi i\omega$ . And

$$\int_0^1 \theta(X_t) dt = -2k\pi i \frac{x_0^2 + y_0^2}{x_0^2 + y_0^2 + 1}. \quad (5.7)$$

From (1.1), (5.6) and (5.7) it follows  $\mathfrak{A}_\psi(q) = k/2 + \mathbb{Z}$  and

$$\kappa(\psi) = e^{ik\pi}. \quad (5.8)$$

Next we determine the value of  $\kappa(\psi)$  by using the results of Section 3. First of all the prequantum bundle for  $(\mathbb{CP}^1, \omega)$  is the hyperplane bundle [3] on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ . On the other hand the functional

$$ci \in \mathfrak{u}(1) \subset \mathfrak{su}(2) \mapsto 2\pi i \eta(\text{diag}(ci, -ci)) = -ic$$

is the derivative of  $\Lambda : g \in U(1) \mapsto g^{-1} \in U(1)$ . Therefore the respective prequantum data are  $SU(2)$ -invariant. The isotopy  $\{\psi_t\}$  of  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  determines the vector fields  $X_{A_t}$ , where  $A_t = \text{diag}(-i\dot{a}_t, i\dot{a}_t)$ . In this case the solution to  $\dot{h}_t h_t = A_t$  is  $h_t = \text{diag}(e^{-ia_t}, e^{ia_t})$ . Hence, by Theorem 6,

$$\kappa(\psi) = \Lambda(h_1) = h_1^{-1} = e^{ik\pi}.$$

This result agrees with (5.8).

THE INVARIANT  $\kappa$  OF A HAMILTONIAN FLOW IN  $S^2$ . For  $G = SU(2)$ , if

$$\eta : \begin{pmatrix} ai & w \\ -\bar{w} & -ai \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{su}(2) \mapsto \frac{na}{2\pi} \in \mathbb{R},$$

with  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_\eta = SU(2)/U(1) = S^2$  admits and  $SU(2)$ -invariant quantization and the corresponding character  $\Lambda$  of  $U(1)$  is  $\Lambda(z) = z^n$ .

Let  $\tilde{\psi}_t$  be the symplectomorphism of  $S^2$  given by

$$\tilde{\psi}_t(q) = \exp(t(aA + bB)) \cdot q,$$

where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $A, B$  are the matrices introduced in (5.2). For  $t_1 = (a^2 + b^2)^{-1/2}\pi$ ,  $\tilde{\psi}_{t_1} = \text{id}$ ; in fact

$$\exp(t(aA + bB)) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos |c| & \epsilon \sin |c| \\ -\bar{\epsilon} & \cos |c| \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.9)$$

with  $c = t(b + ai)$  and  $\epsilon = c/|c|$  (see [10]). If we set

$$E := \pi(a^2 + b^2)^{-1/2}(aA + bB),$$

by (5.9)  $\exp(E) = -\text{Id}$ . So the family  $\{\psi_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ , defined by  $\psi_t(q) = \exp(tE)q$ , is a closed Hamiltonian flow on the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_\eta$ . By Theorem 6

$$\kappa(\psi) = \Lambda(e^E) = \Lambda(-\text{Id}) = (-1)^n.$$

This result agrees with that one obtained in [10, Theorem 21] by direct calculation.

This result can be deduced from (4.2), when  $n < 0$ . Here Lax equation  $\dot{h}_t h_t^{-1} = E$  has the solution  $h_t = \exp(tE)$ . The Weyl's character formula [1] is very simple for the group  $SU(2)$ ; in this case, there is only one positive root  $\alpha$  and the Weyl group has only two elements. We take for  $\alpha$  the linear map defined by

$$\alpha(\text{diag}(ai, -ai)) = 2ai;$$

so  $\alpha^\vee = \text{diag}(1, -1)$ . As we assume that  $n < 0$ , then  $-\lambda := -2\pi i\eta$  is the highest weight of a representation  $\pi$  of  $SU(2)$ . For  $t \in U(1)$ ,

$t^\lambda = t^{-n}$  and  $t^\alpha = t^2$ . Therefore (see [1])

$$\dim \pi = -n + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_\pi(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{-n} t^{-n-2k}.$$

Hence

$$\chi_{\pi^*}(h_1) = \chi_\pi(-1) = (-n + 1)(-1)^n,$$

and from Corollary 3 we again obtain the value  $(-1)^n$  for  $\kappa(\psi)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] J.J. Duistermaat, J.A.C. Kolk *Lie Groups*. Springer, Berlin (2000)
- [2] W. Fulton, J. Harris, *Representation Theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York (1991)
- [3] P. Griffiths, J. Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*. John Wiley and Sons, New York (1978)
- [4] V. Guillemin, S. Sternberg, *Geometric quantization and multiplicities*. Invent. Math. **67** (1982) 515-538
- [5] A.A. Kirilov *Elements of the Theory of Representations*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg (1976)
- [6] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry I*. Wiley, New York (1963)
- [7] B. Kostant *Quantization and unitary representations*. In Lectures in modern analysis III (ed. C.T. Taam). Lecture notes in Mathematics, vol. 170. 87-208. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1970)
- [8] D. McDuff, D. Salamon *Introduction to symplectic topology*. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1998)
- [9] J. Sniatycki, *Geometric Quantization and Quantum Mechanics*. Springer-Verlag, New York (1980)
- [10] A. Viña, *Hamiltonian symplectomorphisms and the Berry phase*. (To appear in J. Geom. Phys.) (math.SG/0009206)
- [11] A. Weinstein, *Cohomology of Symplectomorphism Groups and Critical Values of Hamiltonians*. Math. Z. **201** (1989) 75-82
- [12] A. Weinstein, *Connections of Berry and Hannay type for moving Lagrangian Submanifolds*. Advances in Mathematics **82** (1990) 133-159
- [13] N.M.J. Woodhouse, *Geometric quantization*. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1992)

DEPARTAMENTO DE FÍSICA. UNIVERSIDAD DE OVIEDO. AVDA CALVO SOTELLO. 33007 OVIEDO. SPAIN.

*E-mail address:* cuevas@pinon.ccu.uniovi.es